WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SKB LANSING LANDFILL

בשובע	Inspector			Λ	
Time	Weather Conditions: DV	wer	181	Wet	Day Belo
	-	Yes	No		Notes
CCR:	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	849	 -		21020
I.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	I	т		
	localized settlement observed on the	-			
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	-	1	7.	
	CCR7	.		1	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells		-		
1	containing CCR or within the general landfill		1		
	operations that represent a potential disruption	1	1 4	+	
_	to ongoing CCR management operations?		1	1	
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or			-	
	within the general landfill operations that	 -		1	
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	=	1	1	
	the CCR management operations.				
ع شاب 		<u></u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	
4_	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.80(b)	<u>(4))</u>			
	Was CCR received during the reporting	}			
	period? If answer is no, no additional]		1	
	information required				
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	1	-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	-			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to	!			•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?	1	ŀ		
ブ _	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on				
	landfill access roads?	ļ	İ		•
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the				
	landfill? If the answer is ves describe	.		-	
	corrective action measures below.		1		-
_و	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	1	1		
	describe recommended changes below.	1	1		1
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen				
	complaints received during the reporting		1		
7.7	period? If the answer is yes, answer question				
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?				
litional	Notes				
			-		

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Datez	Inspector) 6-	السر	A			
· ~~	183.15	7			· —		
Time	Weather Conditions:	DUM/	2)				
	_	Γ)				
		. Yes	1 1	Vo		Notes	
CCR	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	8 <u>4</u> 9					
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	. 7					
	localized settlement observed on the	ŧ	j	- 1		-	
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing			1			
<u> </u>	CCR?		1 4	<u>'</u>			
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells						
1	containing CCR or within the general landfill		1				
	operations that represent a potential disruption		- 1	_			
<u> </u>	to ongoing CCR management operations?	1	`				
3_	Were conditions observed within the cells or						
1	within the general landfill operations that	ļ		ر ا			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		1 (}
	the CCR management operations.		1	_			{
CCR F	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)(· ^ `					
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting	(4))	-,				
	period? If a period a liming the reporting		,				
	period? If answer is no, no additional		1 6				- 1
5.	information required.			j			1
ے۔	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				····	-	
6	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?			ŀ			
0	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR						
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		ļ	- 1			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not		1				
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			- 1			
フ_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			_		—	
	Iandfill access roads?					-	
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			_			
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe	.		- 1	-		
 9_	corrective action measures below.			- 1		-	
ے۔	Are current CCR fugitive dust control						
	measures effective? If the answer is no,						1
10_	describe recommended changes below.	- 1		- [- 1
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-					
	complaints received during the reporting			1			
II.	periode in the answer is yes, answer question	1		1 -			
	Were the citizen complaints logged?			+			
	-						1
ditional l	Notes						

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10_2015 zlz

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Date:_	7-8-25 Inspector		27.00	Die.	5 <u> </u>	-
Time:	2.) S Weather Conditions: - 1	<u> </u>			78-	
		<u> </u>	:S	No]_	Notes
CCRI	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257	⁷ -849				
] 1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement of	r·		Γ	T	
	localized settlement observed on the	F		ļ		
ļ -	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	1		,		
- 2.	CCR7 Were conditions observed within the cells					
_	containing CCR or within the general landfill	.	ł			
	operations that represent a potential disruption	-	- 1	<i>i</i>		
	to ongoing CCR management operations?	<u> </u>	- 1			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or		\dashv			
	within the general landfill operations that	ļ-				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	آء				
	the CCR management operations.	I	- 1			
CCRF	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b))(4))				
<u>4</u> _	Was CCR received during the reporting	7	$\neg \top$		T	
	period? If answer is no, no additional		- 1	ì	/ ·	
	information required.	1	- [1	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	 	+		 	
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?			-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	 			 	
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		-			
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on	 	-			
	landfill access roads?		- [-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the					
	landfill? If the answer is ves describe	i I	-		-	
	corrective action measures below.	•	-			-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control		+-			
	measures effective? If the answer is no		1	ł		
	describe recommended changes below			1		
IO_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	~	+			
	complaints received during the reporting		1	- 1		
	period? If the answer is yes, answer meeting			- 1	•	
II.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		 			
Grional 1	Notes					
<u></u>				_		
						

Quit asia Connections/Lansing/CCR Plan Final/Weekly Inspection Form 10 2015

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

Dater_	9-2-25 Inspector	MA.	Y(DETT			-
Time:	Weather Conditions: 5	ir y	<u>N</u>	ř	74		
			 Yes	No		Note	
CCRI	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	8/0				27026	,
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	-0/ - /					
	localized settlement observed on the	- -		j		-	
	sīdeslopes or upper deck of cells containing	- {					
L	CCR?	.		1/	1		
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells			 			
1	containing CCR or within the general landfill	- 1			1		
	operations that represent a potential distinction	1		1			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?	1					
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	1.			+		
	within the general landfill operations that	ļ <u>:</u> -					
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	=		j			
	the CCR management operations.	1	Í				
CCRF	agitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(0)					
4	Was CCR received during the reporting	1 (4))	~				
	period? If answer is no, no additional	1		ĵ	/		
	information required.	1	- 1		1		
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	↓					
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		1	_			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	<u></u>				_	
02	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to						
	landfill region of a second to transport to		- 1			•	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not		- }				
7_	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			i			
/-	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on						
8.	landfill access roads?		- }	1		-	
٥	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the						
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		.	1	-		
	corrective action measures below.		-			•	1
ـ حـ	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			-			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,			1			ļ
10_	describe recommended changes below.						- 1
	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen						
1.	complaints received during the reporting			1			ļ
11.	period? If the answer is yes, answer question						1
	Were the citizen complaints logged?						
itional l	Notes						

Commercions Cansing CCR Plan Final Weekly Inspection Form 10 2015